PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

111 - 6610

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:	То:			PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
				/	PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) s	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
 Inten	national application	No.	International filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PC	ÆP2004/01128	7	08.10.2004		10.10 2003			
	L27.02, A61L27.		both national classification	and IPC				
	TE, Frank		•					
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. II Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
N	e and mailing address			Authorized Officer				

Menidjel, R

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3680



107575232 1206°07 APR 2006 APR 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011287

_	Box I	lo. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With r	fith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in e language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applicat necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type	e of material:					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. form	nat of material:					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	ha Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional uples is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011287

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The	e questions whether the claimed rious), or to be industrially applic	invei able	ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application,					
Ø	claims Nos. 1-13,15,16					
bed	eause:					
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-13,15,16 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. , 2 , $4-13$					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
•	-		does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further	detail	is			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011287

_										
	Bo	k No. IV	Lack of unity of	Invention	<u> </u>					·
1.	☐ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:									
	paid additional fees.									
		⋈	paid additional fee	s under pr	otest.					
			not paid additional	fees.						
2.	☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.								nvite	
3.	This	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is								
	Ø	complie	d with			٠			÷	
		not com	plied with for the following reasons:							
4.	Cor	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:						ation:		
	☐ all parts.									
	☑ the parts relating to claims Nos. 14,15									
		-								
_		k No. V ustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati				with regard to n ting such staten		entive step or	r
1.	Sta	tement								
	Nov	velty (N)	•	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16				
	Inve	entive si	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16				
	Indi	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	14				
2.	Cita	ations a	nd explanations							

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/011287

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- The subject-matter of present claims 1-13,15,16 is related to a method for treatment of the human or animal body from surgery or therapy. Using its discretion, the present authority decided not to carry out an internal preliminary examination on that subject-matter (Article 34(4)(a) PCT in conjunction with Rule 67.1(iv) PCT).

For the assessment of the present claims 1-13,15,16 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4 954 349 (SHETH PRAVIN B ET AL) 4 September 1990 (1990-09-04)

D2: US-B1-6 211 143 (GIL GABRIEL ESPELLETA ET AL) 3 April 2001 (2001-04-03)

2. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

- The subject-matter of present claims 1-16 is considered as novel over the cited prior art (Article 33(2) PCT) for the following reasons:
- None of the documents cited in the international search report refers to a **method for the generation of chondrons** comprising the step of cultivation of cells at **unphysiologically high extra cellular concentrations of magnesium**, characterized in that **at least once the unphysiologically high extra cellular Mg concentration is increased** during cell cultivation.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)

111 - 4410

3. Inventive Step (Article 33(1),(3) PCT)

- Although novel, the subject-matter of present claims 1-16 is considered as not inventive for the following reasons (Article 33(1),(3) PCT):
- The subjective problem to be solved by the present application is to provide a method for the generation of chondrons and of cartilaginous tissue.
- The solution proposed in the present application is a method as described in present claim 1.
- Document D1, which is considered as the closest prior art, describes a method of treating or preventing potassium and magnesium deficiency in skeletal and cardiac muscle by oral administration of magnesium salt.
- The difference between the teaching of the closest prior art and the claimed subject-matter appears to be the unphysiologically high extra cellular concentrations of magnesium (Mg) characterized in that at least once the unphysiologically high extra cellular Mg concentration is increased during cell cultivation.
- Document D2 describes a method for increasing cartilaginous mass of joints in a mammal by ingestion of a hydrolysed gelatin enriched with magnesium.
- The person skilled in the art would regard it as a normal option to include the features described in document D1 in document D2 in order to solve the problem posed and therefore, to come to the claimed solution.

Therefore, the subject-matter of present claims 1-16 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(1),(3) PCT).